

09 September 1998

Governor John A. Kitzhaber  
State Capitol Building  
Salem, Oregon 97310

Governor Tony Knowles  
Office of the Governor  
P.O. Box 110001  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Governor Gary Locke  
Office of the Governor  
P.O. Box 40002  
Olympia, Washington 98504-0002

Governor Pete Wilson  
State Capitol Building  
Sacramento, California 95814

Premier Glen Clark  
Office of the Premier  
Room 156, West Annex  
Parliament Buildings  
Victoria, BC V8V 1X4 Canada

Dear Governors Kitzhaber, Knowles, Locke, and Wilson, and Premier Clark:

Decisions regarding the management of Pacific salmon, many of which are experiencing deep declines in numbers, can affect a vast landscape along the western edge of North America and markedly influence the region's future economy. With this letter, we hope to help lay the foundation for the public debate over the economic aspects of these decisions.

Most of the discourse on the economic issues of salmon recovery has focused too narrowly, concentrating almost exclusively on the costs of recovery. Costs are indeed important, but they tell only part of the economic story. We encourage you and the members of your Administrations to adopt a broader perspective and consider the full range of economic consequences of salmon-management decisions. Toward this end, we recommend that you examine and weigh all these factors:

\* Costs, Benefits, and Net Benefits.

Salmon recovery will generate economic benefits as well as costs. To understand the net benefit (a net cost if negative) to the economy as a whole, one must consider the effects on the production of all goods and services. The effects on goods and services that are traded in markets, such as commercial salmon, timber production, and agricultural production, should receive the same consideration as those, such as recreational fishing, clean streams, and biodiversity, that are not. A full accounting must be provided of the true value of each affected good or service, taking into account the market price, where appropriate, as well as all factors, such as subsidies, taxes, and environmental externalities, that distort the level of supply or demand. Some of the benefits and costs will manifest themselves in the

immediate vicinity of the resources affected by salmon recovery, while others will manifest themselves at greater distances.

\* Jobs, Incomes, and Transitions.

Salmon recovery will have diverse impacts on labor markets, increasing some demands for labor and decreasing others. It also may affect the spatial distribution of the supply of labor by influencing the location decisions of some households. To understand the resulting impacts on jobs and incomes, one must consider the salmon-related changes in demand and supply against the backdrop of the markets' ability to adjust. One should examine both the overall change in jobs and incomes as well as the transitions for affected workers, their families, and their communities.

\* Distribution of Economic Consequences.

The positive and negative effects of salmon recovery will not be distributed equally. Identifying the winners and losers can create opportunities to explore options for breaking political gridlock—by clarifying mechanisms, for example, for the winners to provide some compensation to the losers.

\* Rights and Responsibilities.

Owners of natural resources affected by salmon-recovery measures have both rights regarding their use of these resources and responsibilities not to exercise these rights in ways that unreasonably restrict the rights of others. This is true of both private- and public-property owners. To understand the costs and benefits associated with salmon recovery, one first must have a clear understanding of the relevant rights and responsibilities, because society might assign very different values to two recovery actions that are otherwise identical but one restricts a property owner's rights and the other forces it to comply with its responsibilities.

\* Uncertainty and Sustainability.

Nobody can eliminate the uncertainty regarding how salmon-recovery decisions will affect salmon populations and the economy, and it is inevitable that some decisions will not yield the desired outcomes. Reversing undesired outcomes is always costly, however, some outcomes are less costly to reverse than others. Some, of course, are irreversible. To understand the full economic consequences of salmon-recovery decisions, one should consider the potential reversal costs if the decision should yield undesired outcomes.

\* Looking Beyond Salmon.

To understand the full consequences of salmon recovery, one must look beyond those tied to the salmon, themselves, and examine those linked to the productivity and use of the surrounding ecosystem. Changes in ecosystem productivity may occur through the restoration of the ecological functions of salmon-bearing streams and the surrounding watersheds that will accompany salmon recovery. Changes in the use of the resources of the larger ecosystem may have both positive and negative effects on the economy.

We hope you will consider the factors outlined here, and use this outline to improve the public's understanding of the full economic consequences of salmon recovery.

Sincerely,

W. Ed Whitelaw  
University of Oregon/ECONorthwest

Ernest Niemi  
ECONorthwest

And the following co-signing economists:

Russ Beaton, Willamette University  
Peter Berck, University of California Berkeley  
Bruce Blonigen, University of Oregon  
Peter Bohmer, Evergreen College  
Richard Brinkman, Portland State University  
Gardner Brown, University of Washington  
Walt Butcher, Washington State University  
Kevin Calandri, California State University Sacramento  
Arthur Caplan, Weber State University  
Ken Casavant, Washington State University  
Laura Connolly, Oregon State University  
Jeffrey Connor, Oregon State University  
Robert Curry, California State University Sacramento  
Elizabeth E. Davis, Oregon State University  
Robert Deacon, University of California Santa Barbara  
David Donaldson, University of British Columbia  
Bryan Ellickson, University of California Los Angeles  
Mark Evans, California State University Bakersfield  
Anthony Fisher, University of California Berkeley  
David E. Gallo, California State University Chico  
Alan Gin, University of San Diego  
Eban Goodstein, Lewis & Clark College  
Lawrence Goulder, Stanford University  
Theodore Groves, University of California San Diego  
A.R. Gutowsky, California State University Sacramento  
Steve Hackett, Humboldt State University  
Brent Haddad, University of California Santa Cruz  
Dan Hagen, Western Washington University  
Darwin C. Hall, California State University Long Beach  
Jane Hall, California State University Fullerton  
Robert Halvorsen, University of Washington  
Bill Harbaugh, University of Oregon  
Martin Hart-Landsberg, Lewis & Clark College  
Stephen E. Haynes, University of Oregon  
John F. Henry, California State University Sacramento  
Steve Henson, Western Washington University

Richard B. Howarth, Dartmouth  
Lovell S. Jarvis, University of California Davis  
Desmond Jolly, University of California Davis  
Mary King, Portland State University  
Van Kolpin, University of Oregon  
B. Y. Lee, University of Oregon  
Cathleen Leue, University of Oregon  
Peter Lund, California State University Sacramento  
Bruce Mann, University of Puget Sound  
Carlos Martins-Filho, Oregon State University  
Ray Mikesell, University of Oregon  
Andrew Narwold, University of San Diego  
Noelwah Netusil, Reed College  
Roger Noll, Stanford University  
Dale O'Bannon, Lewis & Clark College  
Arthur O'Sullivan, Oregon State University  
Steve Polasky, Oregon State University  
Thomas Potiowsky, Portland State University  
Tom Power, University of Montana  
R. Bruce Rettig, Oregon State University  
Alan Richards, University of California Santa Cruz  
Robert J. Rooney, California State University Long Beach  
Tony Rufolo, Portland State University  
Linda Shaffer, California State University Fresno  
Barry N. Siegel, University of Oregon  
Emilson Silva, University of Oregon  
Ross Singleton, University of Puget Sound  
Chuck Skoro, Boise State University  
David Starrett, Stanford University  
Kate Stirling, University of Puget Sound  
Joe Story, Pacific University  
Rod Swanson, University of California Riverside  
Paul Thorsnes, Grand Valley State University, Michigan  
Victor Tremblay, Oregon State University  
Charles Vars, Oregon State University  
John F. Walker, Portland State University  
Norm Whittlesey, Washington State University  
Yung Yang, California State University  
Ross Youmans, Oregon State University  
Zenon X. Zygmunt, Western Oregon University

Note: Affiliations are for informational purposes and do not imply consent by organizations.

cc: David Anderson, Minister, Fisheries and Oceans, Canada  
Will Stelle, National Marine Fisheries Service